

# Supplemental to A Constraint-based Formulation of Stable Neo-Hookean Materials

Miles Macklin  
NVIDIA  
Auckland, New Zealand

Matthias Müller  
NVIDIA  
Zurich, Switzerland

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies → Physical simulation.

## KEYWORDS

finite element method, physically-based animation, elasticity, real-time physics

### ACM Reference Format:

Miles Macklin and Matthias Müller. 2021. Supplemental to A Constraint-based Formulation of Stable Neo-Hookean Materials. In *MIG'21: Motion, Interaction and Games*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

## 1 CONSTRAINT GRADIENTS

We now give the gradients of our constraint functions to ease implementation. In general our constraint functions are defined in terms of the deformation gradient  $\mathbf{F}$ , not the particle positions  $\mathbf{x}$ . To make the connection between the two, we discretize the equations using FEM in conjunction with constant strain tetrahedra. Let  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_3, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_4$  be the initial positions of the particles adjacent to a tetrahedron and  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4$  their current positions. With the matrices

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_4] \quad \text{and} \quad (1)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} = [\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_4, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_4, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_3 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_4] \quad (2)$$

we can express the uniform deformation gradient within the tetrahedron as

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{X}\bar{\mathbf{X}}^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

For the volume conservation constraint

$$C_H(\mathbf{x}) = \det(\mathbf{F}) - 1 \quad (4)$$

we get

$$[\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_1}, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_2}, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_3}]C_H(\mathbf{x}) = [\mathbf{f}_2 \times \mathbf{f}_3, \mathbf{f}_3 \times \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_1 \times \mathbf{f}_2] \mathbf{Q}^T, \quad (5)$$

where the  $\mathbf{f}_i$  are the columns of  $\mathbf{F}$  as before and  $\mathbf{Q}$  the rest state matrix. For the deviatoric constraint

$$C_D(\mathbf{F}) = \sqrt{|\mathbf{f}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{f}_2|^2 + |\mathbf{f}_3|^2} \quad (6)$$

the gradients are

$$[\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_1}, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_2}, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_3}]C_D(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{r_S} [\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{f}_3] \mathbf{Q}^T, \quad (7)$$

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).  
*MIG'21, November 10–12, 2021, Lausanne, Switzerland*

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.  
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

where  $r_S = \sqrt{|\mathbf{f}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{f}_2|^2 + |\mathbf{f}_3|^2}$ . As with the stress tensor, the gradients have a singularity at fully collapsed state which is prevented by the volume conservation constraint.

In all cases the gradient with respect to  $\mathbf{x}_4$  is the negative sum of the ones for  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$  and  $\mathbf{x}_3$ .

## 2 NEO-HOOKEAN THEOREM

The deviatoric energy term of the Neo-Hookean model looks quite arbitrary at first, however, we now prove the statement

$$\forall \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} : \det(\mathbf{A}) = 1 \wedge \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}) = 3 \iff \mathbf{A} \text{ is a rotation matrix,} \quad (8)$$

which we call the Neo-Hookean theorem. It shows that the deviatoric term (second condition) is precisely what needs to be added to a volume conservation constraint (first condition) to drive the model to its non-inverted rest state modulo translation and rotation without the need of a polar decomposition.

We show that

$$\forall \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} : \det(\mathbf{A}) = 1 \wedge \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 = 3 \iff \mathbf{A} \text{ is a rotation matrix,} \quad (9)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_F$  is the Frobenius norm. We first prove the implication from left to right. Let  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}$  be the singular value decomposition of  $\mathbf{A}$ , where  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  are orthogonal matrices and  $\Sigma$  a diagonal matrix with non-negative entries  $\lambda_i$ . Since  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}\|_F = \|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}\|_F$  for orthogonal matrices  $\mathbf{M}$  we get

$$3 = \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 = \|\mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}\|_F^2 = \|\Sigma\|_F^2 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2. \quad (10)$$

We have

$$1 = \det(\mathbf{A})^2 = \det(\Sigma)^2 = \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \lambda_3^2. \quad (11)$$

Now by the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means

$$1 = \frac{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}{3} \geq \sqrt[3]{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \lambda_3^2} = 1. \quad (12)$$

This inequality is only an equality if  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ . Therefore  $\lambda_i = 1$  and  $\Sigma = \mathbf{I}$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}$  and therefore, orthogonal. Since  $\det(\mathbf{A}) = +1$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  is a rotation matrix as well.  $\square$

For the implication from right to left: A rotation matrix has  $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 1$ . Also, if  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$  and  $\mathbf{a}_3$  are the column vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  then  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 = |\mathbf{a}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{a}_2|^2 + |\mathbf{a}_3|^2 = 3$ .  $\square$

## 3 COMPUTATION OF THE STRESS TENSORS

The stress induced by  $\Psi_D$  is simply,

$$\sigma_{\text{Spherical}} = \frac{\partial \Psi(\mathbf{F})}{\partial \mathbf{F}} = \mu \mathbf{F}. \quad (13)$$

The stress induced by the hydrostatic term has the form  $p\mathbf{I}$ , where  $p$  is the scalar pressure and  $\mathbf{I}$  the identity matrix. Since we handle the hydrostatic term as a hard constraint, we have to derive the pressure from the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$ . In [Macklin et al. 2016] the

authors show that the force acting along on a distance constraint can be derived from  $\lambda$  as  $\mathbf{f} = \lambda/h^2$ . In our case, we can derive the hydrostatic stress via

$$\sigma_H = \frac{\lambda_H}{h^2 V_{\text{tet}}} \mathbf{I}, \quad (14)$$

where  $V_{\text{tet}}$  is the volume of the tetrahedron.

## REFERENCES

- Miles Macklin, Matthias Müller, and Nuttapong Chentanez. 2016. XPBD: Position-Based Simulation of Compliant Constrained Dynamics. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Motion in Games* (Burlingame, California) (MIG '16). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2994258.2994272>